

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/600,371	06/23/2003	Gholam A. Peyman	44770B	7058
1609 75	90 03/24/2005		EXAMINER	
ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P.			PHAN, HIEU	
1300 19TH STI SUITE 600	REET, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON,, DC 20036			3738	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			511			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/600,374 10/600,371	SCHENDELL-GROLING, CLAUS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hieu Phan	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered time in the mailing date of this of IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Dec	ecember 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	I de la company					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or of	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·		·ED 4 404/4\			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
The batti of declaration is objected to by the D	tarriller. Note the attached Ont	oc Addon or form t	10 102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ved in this Nationa	l Stage			
application from the International Bureau	,	الدحد				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not recei	vea.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa		O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/600,374

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie 1: figure 3

Specie 2: figure 4

Specie 3: figure 5

Specie 4: figure 6

Specie 5: figure 7

Specie 6: figure 8 + 9.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/600,37

Art Unit: 3738

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Jeffrey J. Howell on 03/17/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hieu Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-4757. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3738

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Hieu Phan Examiner Art Unit 3738

BRIAN E. PELLEGRINO

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Burn & Pelleguro